

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
10 Plaintiff,
11 v.
12 ALFONSO HERNANDEZ-RAMIREZ,
13 Defendant.

Case No. CR18-279-RSL

ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATED MOTION TO
CONTINUE TRIAL AND
PRETRIAL MOTIONS DUE
DATE

15
16 This matter comes before the Court on defendant's "Agreed Motion to Continue Trial"
17 (Dkt. # 81). Having considered the facts set forth in the motion, and defendant's knowing and
18 voluntary waiver (Dkt. # 82), the Court finds as follows:

19 1. The Court adopts the facts set forth in the stipulated motion: in particular that the
20 case is complex, arising from a multi-state investigation into the alleged distribution of
21 controlled substances, and it involves voluminous discovery, which counsel needs additional
22 time to review. The Court accordingly finds that a failure to grant a continuance would deny
23 counsel, and any potential future counsel, the reasonable time necessary for effective
24 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.
25 § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

26 2. The Court finds that a failure to grant a continuance would likely result in a
27 miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).

28
ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED
MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL - 1

1 3. The Court finds that the additional time requested between the current trial date of
2 August 5, 2024, and the proposed trial date of February 10, 2025, is a reasonable period of
3 delay. The Court finds that this additional time is necessary to provide defense counsel
4 reasonable time to prepare for trial, as defendant has requested more time to prepare for trial, to
5 continue to investigate the matter, to gather evidence material to the defense, and to consider
6 possible defenses. The additional time requested between the current trial date and the new trial
7 date is necessary to provide counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary to prepare
8 for trial, considering all of the facts set forth above.

9 4. The Court further finds that this continuance would serve the ends of justice, and
10 that these factors outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedier trial,
11 within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

12 5. Defendant has signed a waiver indicating that he has been advised of his right to a
13 speedy trial and that, after consulting with counsel, he has knowingly and voluntarily waived
14 that right and consented to the continuation of his trial to a date up to and including March 31,
15 2025, Dkt. # 82, which will permit his trial to start on February 10, 2025.

16 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date shall be continued from August 5, 2024
17 to February 10, 2025, and pretrial motions are to be filed no later than January 13, 2025;

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of time from the current trial date of August
19 5, 2024, up to and including the new trial date, shall be excludable time pursuant to the Speedy
20 Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, *et seq.* The period of delay attributable to this filing and granting
21 of this motion is excluded for speedy trial purposes pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(D),
22 (h)(7)(A), and (h)(7)(B).

23 DATED this 9th day of July, 2024.
24
25
26
27
28


Robert S. Lasnik
United States District Judge